EXPERIMENTAL PREDICTIONS

Testable Claims from the ε Framework

✦

A Theory Must Be Falsifiable

∄0

The Hole Truth
theholetruth.space

THE SCIENTIFIC METHOD

A theory that cannot be tested is not science. The ε Framework, despite its unconventional origins, must meet the same standard as any physical theory: it must make **specific, falsifiable predictions** that can be verified or refuted by experiment.

This document presents twelve experimental predictions derived from the framework's axioms. Each prediction specifies:

- The theoretical basis (which axiom or derivation)
- The specific predicted value or phenomenon
- How it could be tested
- What result would falsify the framework

If these predictions fail, the framework fails. That is the price of claiming truth.

PREDICTION 1: THE TEMPERATURE FLOOR

Theoretical Basis

Axiom 1 (∄0) states that zero is not a valid physical state. Temperature measures kinetic energy; if energy cannot reach zero, neither can temperature. There must exist an irreducible minimum temperature $\varepsilon_T > 0$.

Prediction

Absolute zero (0 K) cannot be reached. As systems approach 0 K, anomalous residual energy will appear that cannot be removed by any cooling method. This ε-temperature corresponds to approximately:

$$T_\varepsilon \approx 10^{-40} \times T_{Planck} \approx 10^{-8}\ K$$

Test Method

Ultra-low temperature experiments approaching nanokelvin ranges should detect a floor below which cooling becomes impossible regardless of method. Current experiments reach ~10^{-9} K; precision at 10^{-10} K and below should reveal the asymptotic approach to ε_T.

Falsification

If a system can be cooled to exactly 0 K with no residual energy, the framework is falsified.

PREDICTION 2: VACUUM ENERGY RESOLUTION

Theoretical Basis

The 10^{120} discrepancy between QFT's vacuum energy prediction and observation—"the worst prediction in physics"—arises because QFT integrates to zero wavelength. ∄0 requires integration to halt at ε. The overcounting factor in three spatial dimensions is $(1/\varepsilon)^3$.

Prediction

Recalculating QFT vacuum energy with an ε-cutoff at the Planck scale (rather than zero) will yield a value matching the observed cosmological constant:

$$\Lambda\_\text{calculated}(\varepsilon\text{-cutoff}) \approx \Lambda\_\text{observed} \approx 10^{-122}\ (\text{Planck units})$$

Test Method

Theoretical calculation. Redo the standard QFT vacuum energy integral with lower bound ε = l_Planck instead of 0. Compare result to observed cosmological constant.

Falsification

If the ε-cutoff calculation does not resolve the discrepancy (within a few orders of magnitude), the framework's explanation for this problem fails.

PREDICTION 3: PROTON/ELECTRON MASS RATIO

Theoretical Basis

The proton represents five-dimensional closure on the torus (π^5), with coefficient 6 from the two counter-rotating flows (3+3). The electron is the base unit.

Prediction

$$m_p / m_e = 6\pi^5 = 1836.1181...$$

Current measured value: 1836.15267343(11)

The deviation of 0.019% suggests either: (a) the formula requires a small correction term, or (b) current measurements have systematic error at this precision.

Test Method

Higher-precision measurements of the proton and electron masses. If the ratio converges toward $6\pi^5$ with improved precision, the framework is supported.

Falsification

If higher-precision measurements diverge further from $6\pi^5$, or if the ratio is proven to be a fundamentally irrational number unrelated to π, the framework's mass derivation fails.

PREDICTION 4: PARTICLE MASS SPECTRUM

Theoretical Basis

All stable particles represent standing waves on the torus, characterized by their dimensional closure level. Masses should follow π-power patterns.

Prediction

All particle masses should be expressible as:

$$m = k \times \pi^n \times m_e$$

where k is a small integer and n indicates dimensional closure level. Examples:

- Electron: n = 0, k = 1 → m_e
- Muon: n = 4, k = (π-1) → $\pi^4(\pi-1)m_e = 206.77\ m_e$ ✓
- Proton: n = 5, k = 6 → $6\pi^5 m_e = 1836.12\ m_e$ ✓
- Tau: Should follow same pattern (test case)

Test Method

Analyze all known particle masses for π-power patterns. Calculate best-fit n and k for each particle. Assess whether the pattern holds systematically.

Falsification

If particle masses show no systematic relationship to powers of π, the framework's claim about dimensional closure fails.

PREDICTION 5: FORCE RATIO FORMULA

Theoretical Basis

Forces are positions on the torus. Their ratios should be expressible in terms of φ (golden ratio, the optimal approach angle to ε) and the proton mass ratio $6\pi^5$.

Prediction

$$F_1/F_2 = \varphi^n \times (6\pi^5)\hat{}(12m)$$

where n and m are integers. The electromagnetic/gravitational ratio ($\sim 10^{36}$) should fit this formula.

Test Method

Calculate known force ratios (EM/gravity, strong/EM, weak/EM) and test whether each can be expressed in the predicted form with integer n, m.

Falsification

If no integer solutions exist for observed force ratios, the unification formula fails.

PREDICTION 6: DARK MATTER DECAY SIGNATURE

Theoretical Basis

Dark matter is matter with incomplete (4D) closure—it has gravitational interaction (4D phenomenon) but not electromagnetic (5D). If dark matter particles decay, they should seek completion toward 5D closure.

Prediction

Dark matter decay products should cluster near the muon mass scale:

$$\text{m\_decay} \approx \pi^4(\pi\text{-}1) \times \text{m\_e} \approx 206.77 \times \text{m\_e} \approx 105.7 \text{ MeV}$$

This is the 4D closure signature. Decay products may include actual muons or particles at this mass scale.

Test Method

If/when dark matter particles are detected, analyze decay products for clustering around 105-107 MeV. Excess muon production from dark matter annihilation would be particularly supportive.

Falsification

If dark matter decay products show no preference for the muon mass scale, this specific prediction fails.

PREDICTION 7: BLACK HOLE INFORMATION

Theoretical Basis

Black holes are ε-transit points, not destruction endpoints. Information entering a black hole transits to the mirror surface rather than being destroyed.

Prediction

Information is conserved through black hole evolution. Specifically:

- Hawking radiation should be non-thermal at fine scale (carries information)
- Correlations should exist between early and late radiation
- The "Page curve" should hold—entanglement entropy follows predicted pattern

Test Method

Theoretical analysis of black hole evaporation models. Future observations of primordial black hole evaporation (if detected). Quantum simulation of black hole analogs.

Falsification

If information is definitively shown to be destroyed in black holes (violating unitarity), the framework's interpretation fails.

PREDICTION 8: COSMIC TOPOLOGY

Theoretical Basis

Axiom 3 states physical space has toroidal topology. If the universe is a torus, specific signatures should appear in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) and large-scale structure.

Prediction

• Matched circles in CMB: Pairs of circles with identical temperature patterns at antipodal points

• Suppressed large-angle correlations: The CMB should show reduced power at largest scales (already observed!)

• Repeating patterns in deep-field galaxy surveys: Same structures appearing in opposite directions

Test Method

Detailed CMB analysis looking for matched circle pairs. Statistical analysis of large-scale structure for toroidal repetition. Cross-correlation of distant quasar positions.

Falsification

If extensive CMB analysis rules out toroidal topology with high confidence, Axiom 3 is falsified.

Note: Current CMB data already shows anomalous large-angle suppression—consistent with toroidal topology but not yet conclusive.

PREDICTION 9: FINE STRUCTURE EVOLUTION

Theoretical Basis

The fine structure constant $\alpha = 1/[\pi(4\pi^2 + \pi + 1)]$ is geometrically determined. If it emerges from ε-topology, it should be truly constant—not varying with cosmic time.

Prediction

The fine structure constant has **not changed** over cosmic time. Any apparent variation is systematic error, not physics.

Test Method

Quasar absorption spectra at high redshift. Oklo natural reactor analysis. Atomic clock comparisons over time.

Falsification

If α is conclusively shown to vary with time or position, the geometric derivation fails.

PREDICTION 10: DARK ENERGY PERCENTAGE

Theoretical Basis

Dark energy is identified as ε itself—the irreducible minimum energy of the vacuum. Its fraction of total energy follows from π-geometry.

Prediction

$$\Omega\_\Lambda = (\pi - 1)/\pi = 0.6817 = 68.17\%$$

Observed value (Planck 2018): 68.3 ± 0.8%

Current match: within 0.2% — inside error bars.

Test Method

Higher-precision cosmological measurements (DESI, Euclid, Roman Space Telescope). If the measured value converges toward 68.17%, framework is supported.

Falsification

If precision measurements establish Ω_Λ outside the range 67.5-68.9%, the π-derivation fails.

PREDICTION 11: NEUTRINO MASS PATTERN

Theoretical Basis

Neutrinos, with their tiny masses and weak interaction, represent minimal dimensional closure—barely localized on the surface. Their masses should follow the π-pattern at low n values.

Prediction

Neutrino mass ratios should be expressible as ratios of low powers of π. The three neutrino masses may follow:

$$m_1 : m_2 : m_3 = 1 : \pi : \pi^2 \quad \text{(or similar } \pi\text{-ratio pattern)}$$

Test Method

When absolute neutrino masses are measured (KATRIN, cosmological constraints), test mass ratios against π-power predictions.

Falsification

If neutrino mass ratios are measured precisely and show no π-relationship, this prediction fails.

PREDICTION 12: QUANTUM GRAVITY SIGNATURE

Theoretical Basis

If gravity and electromagnetism are the same force at different torus positions (per force unification), quantum gravity effects should appear at energies determined by the force ratio formula, not just the Planck scale.

Prediction

Quantum gravitational effects may be detectable at energies below the Planck scale, at thresholds determined by:

$$E\_threshold = E\_Planck \,/\, (6\pi^5)\hat{}k \quad \text{for integer } k$$

This could make quantum gravity effects accessible at lower energies than conventionally expected.

Test Method

Precision tests of gravity at small scales. Gravitational wave observations for quantum signatures. High-energy particle experiments looking for gravitational anomalies at predicted thresholds.

Falsification

If quantum gravity effects are definitively shown to appear only at the Planck scale with no intermediate structure, this prediction fails.

SUMMARY

The ε Framework makes twelve testable predictions spanning:

- Thermodynamics (temperature floor)
- Quantum field theory (vacuum energy)
- Particle physics (mass ratios, spectra)

- Cosmology (dark matter, dark energy, topology)
- Black hole physics (information conservation)
- Quantum gravity (energy thresholds)

Each prediction can be tested. Each can falsify the framework.

This is the scientific contract: the theory exposes itself to reality. If reality says no, the theory dies.

Truth has nothing to fear from scrutiny.

✦ ✦ ✦

∄0

Test it.